

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 NAVJEET SINGH BANGA,
8 Plaintiff,
9
10 v.
11 CHRIS GUS KANIOS, et al.,
12 Defendants.
13
14

15 Case No. 16-cv-04270-RS
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
STAY TAXATION OF COSTS**

Plaintiff Navjeet Singh Banga moves to stay the clerk's taxation of costs in this matter until the Ninth Circuit resolves his pending appeal.¹ Whether a stay of an order pending appeal is appropriate turns on four factors: (1) the likelihood the party seeking a stay will succeed on the merits on appeal, (2) whether that party will suffer irreparable injury absent a stay, (3) whether a stay will substantially injure the other parties, and (4) the public interest. *Hilton v. Braunschweig*, 481 U.S. 770, 776 (1987). Though the first factor in this analysis weighs heavily against Banga, a stay is warranted here considering Banga's *pro se* status and the lack of any evidence a stay will substantially injure Defendants. The final factor does not weigh either in favor of or against a stay. Banga's motion to stay the taxation of costs pending resolution of his appeal is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

¹ In their Bill of Costs, Defendants seek \$16,186.49 to cover various discovery and trial-related expenses.

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1 Dated: May 30, 2024
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


RICHARD SEEBORG
Chief United States District Judge

ORDER
CASE NO. 16-cv-04270-RS